Leadership, Education, Personality: An Interdisciplinary Journal ISSN: 2524-6178
www.sibe.rpress.co.in, Vol. 18, Issue 12 December 2023, UGC CARE 1

Homological Methods in Non-Commutative Algebra

Dr Ritika
Assistant Professor
Rajiv Gandhi Mahavidyalaya Uchana

Abstract

Homological methods play a central role in the structural analysis of non-commutative algebras by providing powerful invariants and categorical frameworks to investigate modules, extensions, and deformation phenomena. This paper examines the application of homological algebra techniques—including projective and injective resolutions, derived functors such as Ext and Tor, and homological dimensions—to the study of non-commutative rings and graded algebras. Particular emphasis is placed on derived categories, Hochschild (co)homology, and their relevance to representation theory and non-commutative geometry. The work further explores Artin–Schelter regularity, Koszul duality, and deformation theory as fundamental tools for understanding structural and geometric properties of non-commutative spaces. By synthesizing classical homological constructions with modern categorical approaches, the study highlights how homological invariants facilitate classification, equivalence detection, and the resolution of algebraic singularities in non-commutative settings.

Keywords: Non-Commutative Algebra, Homological Algebra, Derived Categories, Hochschild Cohomology, Artin–Schelter Regularity

Introduction

Homological methods have become indispensable in the modern study of non-commutative algebra, offering a systematic framework for analyzing algebraic structures where commutativity of multiplication does not hold. Unlike commutative rings, non-commutative algebras exhibit intricate module categories, asymmetries between left and right modules, and more complex extension behaviors, necessitating refined homological tools for their investigation. Homological algebra provides such tools through constructions including projective, injective, and flat resolutions; derived functors such as Ext and Tor; and homological dimensions that measure the depth and regularity of algebraic structures. These invariants enable researchers to detect structural properties, classify algebras, and understand their representation theory. In particular, the development of derived categories and triangulated categories has transformed the field by allowing equivalences between seemingly distinct algebras to be studied via derived equivalence and tilting theory. Hochschild (co)homology further enriches the framework by capturing deformation-theoretic and geometric information intrinsic to non-commutative algebras, linking the subject to non-commutative geometry and quantum algebra. Concepts such as Artin–Schelter regularity and Gorenstein conditions extend classical commutative notions of regularity into the non-commutative setting, providing criteria for smoothness and symmetry. Moreover, Koszul duality and graded homological methods have proven fundamental in understanding quadratic algebras and their dual structures. These homological approaches not only facilitate structural classification but also support applications in representation theory, algebraic geometry, and mathematical physics, particularly in the study of quantum groups and deformation theory. By integrating categorical, homological, and geometric perspectives, homological methods reveal deep interconnections between algebraic invariants and structural properties. Consequently, the systematic study of homological techniques in non-commutative algebra represents a crucial avenue for advancing both theoretical understanding and practical computation within contemporary algebraic research.

Background and Motivation of the Study

The development of non-commutative algebra has significantly expanded the scope of modern algebraic research, particularly through its applications in representation theory, non-commutative geometry, and quantum algebra. Classical algebraic techniques, largely formulated for commutative structures, often prove insufficient when addressing the asymmetry and structural complexity inherent in non-commutative rings and algebras. This limitation has motivated the integration of homological methods, which provide robust tools for analyzing modules, extensions, and algebraic invariants in a categorical framework. Homological constructions such as resolutions, derived functors, and homological dimensions enable a deeper understanding of structural properties, equivalences, and regularity conditions. Furthermore, advancements in derived categories and Hochschild cohomology have revealed profound connections between algebraic deformation, geometry, and mathematical physics. The motivation of this study lies in systematically examining how homological techniques enhance the structural analysis, classification, and theoretical development of non-commutative algebra.

Scope of the Study

The scope of this study encompasses the theoretical and structural analysis of non-commutative algebras through the systematic application of homological methods. It focuses on core concepts of homological algebra, including projective and injective resolutions, derived functors such as Ext and Tor, and homological dimensions, and examines their role in understanding module categories over non-commutative rings. The study further extends to derived categories, triangulated structures, and derived equivalences, highlighting their significance in classification and representation theory. Special attention is given to Hochschild (co)homology, Koszul duality, and Artin–Schelter regularity as tools for exploring deformation theory and non-commutative geometric frameworks. While primarily theoretical in orientation, the study also considers selected algebraic examples to illustrate key concepts. However, it does not emphasize computational algorithms or extensive applications in physics, remaining focused on foundational and structural developments within algebra.

Preliminaries and Foundations

This section establishes the algebraic and categorical framework required for the systematic application of homological methods in non-commutative algebra. Since non-commutativity introduces asymmetry between left and right structures and complicates localization and spectrum theory, a precise formulation of rings, modules, complexes, and functors is essential before developing derived constructions.

1. Non-Commutative Rings and Algebras

A non-commutative ring R is an associative ring with identity in which multiplication is not assumed to satisfy $ab=ba$ for all $a,b\in R$. Fundamental examples include matrix rings $M_n(k)$, group algebras kG of non-abelian groups, skew polynomial rings, and path algebras of quivers. An k-algebra is a ring equipped with a compatible scalar multiplication over a commutative base field k. In the non-commutative setting, structural phenomena such as the distinction between left and right ideals, non-symmetric annihilators, and failure of unique factorization arise naturally. Concepts such as simple, semiprime, Noetherian, Artinian, and graded algebras extend from the commutative case but often require separate left/right formulations. These structural features motivate the use of homological invariants to measure complexity and regularity.

2. Modules over Non-Commutative Rings

Given a non-commutative ring R, a left R-module M is an abelian group equipped with an action

$R \times M \to M$, satisfying associativity and distributive laws. Similarly, one defines right R-modules. Unlike the commutative case, left and right modules need not coincide, and bimodules play a central role in homological constructions such as Hochschild (co)homology. The category R-Mod of left R-modules is abelian, allowing kernels, cokernels, and exact sequences to be defined. Important classes include projective, injective, and flat modules, which are characterized by lifting or exactness properties and are central to constructing resolutions. In non-commutative algebra, module categories often encode more geometric information than prime spectra, forming the foundation for categorical approaches.

3. Exact Sequences and Chain Complexes

An exact sequence of R-modules is a sequence

$$\cdots \to M_{i+1} \to M_i \to M_{i-1} \to \cdots$$

in which the image of each map equals the kernel of the next. Short exact sequences

$$0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$$

describe extensions and measure how modules are built from submodules and quotients.

A chain complex (C·,d·) is a sequence of modules with differentials $d_i: C_i \to C_{i-1}$ satisfying $d_{i-1} \circ d_i = 0$. The homology modules

$$H_i(C_\bullet) = \ker d_i / \operatorname{im} d_{i+1}$$

measure the failure of exactness. Resolutions—exact complexes of projective or injective modules—serve as the foundation for defining derived functors such as Tor and Ext.

4. Functors: Additive, Left/Right Exact

A functor between module categories assigns modules to modules and homomorphisms to homomorphisms, preserving composition and identities. A functor is additive if it preserves finite direct sums and group structures. It is left exact if it preserves exactness at the first two positions of a short exact sequence, and right exact if it preserves exactness at the last two positions.

For example, the Hom functor $Hom_R(M,-)$ is left exact, while the tensor product functor $-\otimes_R N$ is right exact. Derived functors arise precisely to measure the failure of exactness of such constructions, forming the backbone of homological algebra.

5. Categories and Basic Category Theory

Homological algebra is most naturally formulated in the language of category theory. A category consists of objects and morphisms satisfying associativity and identity axioms. The category of R-modules is an example of an abelian category, where kernels, cokernels, and exact sequences exist and behave well.

Key categorical notions include limits, colimits, adjoint functors, and equivalences of categories. In advanced settings, triangulated and derived categories extend these ideas to complexes, allowing one to systematically encode homological information. This categorical perspective provides the structural foundation for modern approaches to non-commutative algebra and geometry.

Literature Review

Homological methods have become a foundational framework in modern algebra, particularly in the study of non-commutative structures. The classical groundwork laid by Gelfand and Manin (2003) and Rotman (2009) provides a systematic exposition of homological algebra, including complexes, derived functors, and spectral sequences. These texts formalize the machinery of projective and injective resolutions, Ext and Tor groups, and triangulated categories, which form the technical backbone for contemporary developments. Neeman (2001) further advances the categorical perspective by rigorously developing triangulated categories, emphasizing their role in organizing derived constructions and localization theory. Yekutieli (2012) builds upon this framework by presenting derived categories in a structured and algebraically precise manner, clarifying the role of t-structures, derived functors, and homological duality. Collectively, these foundational works establish the abstract categorical language necessary for extending homological techniques beyond commutative algebra into non-commutative settings.

The transition from classical homological algebra to non-commutative contexts is significantly enriched by the study of Calabi–Yau algebras and related duality phenomena. Ginzburg (2006) introduces differential graded (DG) techniques to characterize Calabi–Yau algebras, demonstrating how homological smoothness and self-duality conditions extend geometric intuition into non-commutative environments. Van den Bergh (2008) further explores Calabi–Yau algebras through the lens of superpotentials and non-commutative geometry, revealing deep connections between Hochschild (co)homology, deformation theory, and graded algebra structures. These works illustrate how homological invariants serve not merely as computational tools but as structural descriptors of non-commutative spaces. By employing derived categories and DG algebra techniques, they highlight the importance of homological regularity conditions—such as finite global dimension and Gorenstein symmetry—in capturing non-commutative analogues of smoothness.

The role of homological methods in deformation theory and rigidity is addressed comprehensively by Avramov, Iyengar, and Lipman (2010), who analyze reflexivity and rigidity properties of complexes. Their work emphasizes the interplay between derived categories and homological dimensions, showing how rigidity conditions can control extension phenomena and detect structural constraints. Such results are particularly significant in non-commutative algebra, where classical geometric tools may fail. Homological invariants thus provide criteria for equivalence, stability, and structural classification. This perspective aligns with the broader categorical approach, where derived equivalences preserve deep invariants such as Hochschild cohomology and global dimension, reinforcing the importance of derived categories as classification tools.

Finally, Kaledin (2008) synthesizes homological techniques within the broader framework of non-commutative geometry, focusing on cyclic and Hochschild (co)homology and their applications to deformation theory. His exposition connects algebraic structures with geometric analogues, demonstrating how cyclic homology serves as a non-commutative counterpart of de Rham cohomology. By integrating homological algebra with non-commutative geometric intuition, Kaledin highlights the unifying power of derived and DG methods. Overall, the literature reveals a coherent trajectory: from foundational homological constructions to sophisticated categorical and geometric applications in non-commutative algebra. The convergence of derived categories, Calabi–Yau structures, rigidity theory, and cyclic homology underscores the central thesis that homological methods provide both structural insight and computational precision in understanding non-commutative algebraic systems.

Homological Algebra Essentials

Homological algebra provides the principal computational and structural tools for analyzing modules over non-commutative rings. Since non-commutativity often prevents direct structural decomposition, homological invariants such as resolutions, derived functors, and homological dimensions serve as refined measures of complexity. These concepts allow one to detect regularity, classify algebras, and compare module categories through homological criteria.

1. Projective, Injective, and Flat Modules

A left R-module P is projective if every surjective homomorphism $f:M\to N$ and morphism g:P→N admit a lift $\tilde{g}$:P→M such that f∘$\tilde{g}$=g. Equivalently, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P,-)$ is an exact functor. Projective modules generalize free modules and play a central role in constructing resolutions.

A module I is injective if for every injective homomorphism $A \hookrightarrow B$, any morphism A→I extends to B→I. Equivalently, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, I)$ is exact. Injective modules are dual to projectives and are fundamental in cohomological constructions.

A module F is flat if tensoring with F preserves exact sequences; that is, the functor $-\otimes_R F$ is exact. Flatness is particularly significant in non-commutative contexts where tensor products behave asymmetrically.

2. Resolutions: Projective and Injective

A projective resolution of an R-module M is an exact complex

$$\cdots \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M \to 0$$

with each P_i projective. Similarly, an injective resolution is an exact complex

$$0 \to M \to I^0 \to I^1 \to I^2 \to \cdots 0$$

with each I^i injective.

Resolutions allow one to replace arbitrary modules by "homologically well-behaved" objects. In non-commutative algebra, resolutions often reveal structural properties of algebras, particularly in graded or Noetherian settings. Minimal resolutions, when they exist, provide precise algebraic invariants.

3. Derived Functors (Tor and Ext)

Derived functors measure the failure of a functor to be exact. Given a projective resolution $P_\cdot \to M$, the Tor functors are defined by

$$\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M,N) = H_n(P_\bullet \otimes_R N).$$

They quantify the deviation of tensor product from exactness.

Dually, using a projective resolution of M or an injective resolution of N, the Ext functors are defined by

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M,N) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, N)).$$

The groups $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M,N)$ classify module extensions and encode cohomological data. In non-commutative settings, Ext and Tor detect depth, regularity, and deformation-theoretic information.

4. Homological Dimensions

Homological dimensions measure how far a module or ring deviates from ideal homological behavior.

- **Projective Dimension**

The projective dimension of a module M, denoted $\mathrm{pd}_R(M)$, is the minimal length of a projective resolution of M. Finite projective dimension indicates controlled complexity and is central to regularity conditions.

- **Injective Dimension**

The injective dimension $\mathrm{id}_R(M)$ is the minimal length of an injective resolution. It plays a key role in duality theory and Gorenstein properties.

- **Global Dimension**

The global dimension of a ring R is

$$\mathrm{gl.dim}(R) = \sup\{\mathrm{pd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ an } R\text{-module}\}.$$

Finite global dimension characterizes homological regularity and is often viewed as a non-commutative analogue of smoothness.

- **Homological Regularity**

An algebra is said to exhibit homological regularity if it satisfies finiteness conditions on global dimension and symmetry properties such as Gorenstein or Artin–Schelter regularity. These conditions generalize geometric smoothness to non-commutative algebras and are fundamental in non-commutative geometry and representation theory.

Together, these homological essentials provide the technical backbone for advanced constructions such as derived categories, Hochschild (co)homology, and deformation theory in non-commutative algebra.

Derived Categories and Derived Functors

Derived categories provide a unifying framework for homological algebra by encoding complexes of modules up to quasi-isomorphism, thereby isolating homological information from extraneous chain-level data. In non-commutative algebra, they serve as a central tool for studying equivalences between algebras, deformation theory, and homological invariants. Derived functors arise naturally in this setting and allow systematic treatment of non-exact constructions such as

Hom and tensor products.

- **Derived Category**

Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) ring and consider the category *Ch*(R) of chain complexes of left R-modules. Morphisms are chain maps. A morphism of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism if it induces isomorphisms on all homology modules.

The derived category *D(R)* is obtained from *Ch*(R) by formally inverting all quasi-isomorphisms. Concretely, this localization identifies complexes that are homologically equivalent. Objects of *D(R)* are complexes of modules, and morphisms are equivalence classes of chain maps under homotopy and localization.

Important subcategories include the bounded derived category $D^b(R)$, which consists of complexes with bounded homology. Derived categories enable homological invariants to be treated categorically rather than componentwise.

4.2 Triangulated and Abelian Categories

The category *R*-Mod is an abelian category, meaning it admits kernels, cokernels, and exact sequences satisfying natural axioms. However, derived categories are not abelian; instead, they are triangulated categories.

A triangulated category is equipped with:

1. An autoequivalence (shift or suspension functor) [1],
2. Distinguished triangles

X→Y→Z→X[1],X \to Y \to Z \to X[1],X→Y→Z→X[1],

which abstract the behavior of short exact sequences of complexes.

Triangulated structure captures homological relationships in a stable way and allows manipulation of long exact sequences in cohomology. This structure is fundamental in modern non-commutative geometry and representation theory.

Derived Categories and Derived Functors

Derived categories provide a unifying framework for homological algebra by encoding complexes of modules up to quasi-isomorphism, thereby isolating homological information from extraneous chain-level data. In non-commutative algebra, they serve as a central tool for studying equivalences between algebras, deformation theory, and homological invariants. Derived functors arise naturally in this setting and allow systematic treatment of non-exact constructions such as Hom and tensor products.

1. Definition of the Derived Category

Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) ring and consider the category *Ch(R)* of chain complexes of left R-modules. Morphisms are chain maps. A morphism of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism if it induces isomorphisms on all homology modules.

The derived category D(R) is obtained from *Ch*(R) by formally inverting all quasi-isomorphisms. Concretely, this localization identifies complexes that are homologically equivalent. Objects of D(R) are complexes of modules, and morphisms are equivalence classes of chain maps under homotopy and localization.

Important subcategories include the bounded derived category $D^b(R)$, which consists of complexes with bounded homology. Derived categories enable homological invariants to be treated categorically rather than componentwise.

2. Triangulated and Abelian Categories

The category R-Mod is an abelian category, meaning it admits kernels, cokernels, and exact sequences satisfying natural axioms. However, derived categories are not abelian; instead, they are triangulated categories.

A triangulated category is equipped with:

1. An autoequivalence (shift or suspension functor) [1],
2. Distinguished triangles
   X→Y→Z→X [1],

which abstract the behavior of short exact sequences of complexes.

Triangulated structure captures homological relationships in a stable way and allows manipulation of long exact sequences in cohomology. This structure is fundamental in modern non-commutative geometry and representation theory.

3. Derived Functor Construction

Classical functors such as $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-,-)$ and $-\otimes_R-$ are generally not exact. Their derived functors extend them to derived categories.

To define the right derived functor R*F* of a left exact functor *F*, one replaces objects by injective resolutions before applying *F*. Dually, to define the left derived functor L*G* of a right exact functor *G*, one replaces objects by projective (or flat) resolutions.

For example:

Leadership, Education, Personality: An Interdisciplinary Journal ISSN: 2524-6178
www.sibe.rpress.co.in, Vol. 18, Issue 12 December 2023, UGC CARE 1

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N) \quad \text{and} \quad M \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_R N$$

are objects in D(R) whose homology recovers Ext and Tor groups. Derived functors thus encode all higher extension or torsion information simultaneously in a single object.

4. t-Structures and the Heart of the Derived Category

A t-structure on a triangulated category D consists of two full subcategories satisfying axioms that generalize truncation of complexes. It allows one to reconstruct an abelian category inside a triangulated category.

The heart of a t-structure is the intersection of these subcategories and forms an abelian category. In D(R), the standard t-structure has heart equivalent to R-Mod.

t-Structures are essential in derived algebraic geometry and non-commutative geometry, as they permit reinterpretation of homological data in abelian terms and facilitate stability conditions and cohomological truncations.

5. Derived Equivalences and Morita Theory

Two rings R and S are derived equivalent if their derived categories D(R) and D(S) are equivalent as triangulated categories. Derived equivalence is weaker than classical Morita equivalence but preserves deep homological invariants such as Hochschild (co)homology and global dimension.

Morita theory classically characterizes when module categories R-Mod and S-Mod are equivalent, typically via progenerators. Derived Morita theory extends this by using tilting complexes, allowing equivalences between derived categories even when module categories are not equivalent
In non-commutative algebra, derived equivalences provide a powerful classification tool, revealing structural similarities between algebras that may not be apparent at the level of modules alone.

Hochschild (Co)Homology

Hochschild (co)homology provides fundamental invariants of associative algebras and plays a central role in non-commutative algebra and geometry. Unlike ordinary homology of modules, Hochschild theory studies an algebra A as a bimodule over itself, thereby capturing internal multiplicative structure. These invariants encode deformation data, trace structures, and geometric information, making them indispensable in modern homological methods.

1. Definitions and Computations

Let A be an associative algebra over a field k. Consider A as a bimodule over itself. The Hochschild homology of A is defined by

$$HH_n(A) = \mathrm{Tor}_n^{A^e}(A, A),$$

and the Hochschild cohomology by

$$HH^n(A) = \mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A),$$

where $A^e = A \otimes_k A^{op}$ is the enveloping algebra.

Computationally, one uses the bar resolution, a projective resolution of A as an A^e module. The resulting complexes involve tensor powers $A^{\otimes n}$, with explicit boundary maps reflecting multiplication in A. In graded or Koszul algebras, minimal resolutions simplify these computations significantly.

2. Hochschild Cohomology Ring

The Hochschild cohomology groups $HH^*(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} HH^n(A)$ form a graded algebra under the cup product. This ring structure carries substantial information about the algebra's symmetries and extensions.

Moreover, $HH*(A)$ possesses a richer structure known as a Gerstenhaber algebra, combining a graded commutative product with a graded Lie bracket. This additional structure is crucial in deformation theory and links Hochschild cohomology to Poisson geometry and quantum algebra.

3. *HH* as Deformation Theory

Hochschild cohomology governs formal deformations of associative algebras. In particular:

- HH^1(A) classifies derivations modulo inner derivations,
- HH^2(A) parameterizes infinitesimal deformations of the multiplication,
- HH^3(A) controls obstruction to extending deformations.

Gerstenhaber's deformation theory shows that formal deformations correspond to solutions of certain cohomological equations inside $HH^2(A)$. Thus, Hochschild cohomology provides the natural cohomological framework for studying quantization and non-commutative deformations.

4. Cyclic and Periodic Homology

Cyclic homology refines Hochschild homology by incorporating cyclic symmetry of tensor factors. It is designed as a non-commutative analogue of de Rham cohomology for smooth manifolds.

There exist long exact sequences relating Hochschild and cyclic homology (Connes' long exact sequence). Periodic cyclic homology further stabilizes these invariants, particularly relevant for algebras of geometric origin. In non-commutative geometry, cyclic homology connects algebraic invariants with topological K-theory via Chern characters.

5. Applications to Non-Commutative Ring Invariants

Hochschild and cyclic (co)homology serve as powerful invariants in non-commutative algebra. They detect smoothness conditions, characterize Calabi–Yau and Artin–Schelter regular algebras, and remain stable under derived equivalence.

These invariants also classify extensions, control deformations, and provide tools for distinguishing algebras that are otherwise similar at the level of module categories. In non-commutative geometry, they play a role analogous to differential forms and cohomology in classical geometry, offering a bridge between algebraic structure and geometric intuition.

Conclusion

Homological methods have become indispensable in the structural analysis of non-commutative algebra, providing a unifying framework to investigate rings, algebras, and their module categories beyond the limitations of classical commutative techniques. By employing derived functors such as Ext and Tor, projective and injective resolutions, and the machinery of derived and triangulated categories, researchers can measure depth, regularity, and homological dimensions in settings where geometric intuition is less direct. In particular, tools from homological algebra enable the classification of non-commutative rings via properties such as global dimension, Gorenstein conditions, and homological smoothness, thereby extending concepts originally formulated for commutative Noetherian rings.

Moreover, the development of derived categories and differential graded (DG) algebras has facilitated deeper insight into equivalences between module categories, including Morita and derived equivalences, which are central in representation theory and non-commutative geometry. Homological invariants such as Hochschild (co)homology and cyclic homology further connect algebraic structures with deformation theory and quantum algebra. These methods not only clarify the internal structure of non-commutative algebras but also reveal relationships between algebra, topology, and mathematical physics. Consequently, homological techniques continue to shape contemporary research, offering robust analytical tools for understanding complexity, symmetry, and duality in non-commutative settings.

References

1. Avramov, L. L., Iyengar, S. B., & Lipman, J. (2010). Reflexivity and rigidity for complexes. *Compositio Mathematica, 146*(5), 1260–1282. https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X10004712
2. Gelfand, S. I., & Manin, Y. I. (2003). *Methods of homological algebra* (2nd ed.). Springer.
3. Ginzburg, V. (2006). Calabi–Yau algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:math/0612139*.
4. Kaledin, D. (2008). *Homological methods in noncommutative geometry*. arXiv preprint arXiv:math/0611623.
5. Neeman, A. (2001). *Triangulated categories*. Princeton University Press.
6. Rotman, J. J. (2009). *An introduction to homological algebra* (2nd ed.). Springer.
7. Van den Bergh, M. (2008). Calabi–Yau algebras and superpotentials. *Selecta Mathematica, 21*(2), 555–603.
8. Yekutieli, A. (2012). Derived categories. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press.
9. Etingof, P., Gelaki, S., Nikshych, D., & Ostrik, V. (2015). *Tensor categories*. American Mathematical Society.
10. Iyengar, S. B., & Krause, H. (2016). The Bousfield lattice of a triangulated category and stratification. *Mathematische Zeitschrift, 282*(1–2), 159–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-015-1526-5
11. Keller, B. (2006). On differential graded categories. *International Congress of Mathematicians Proceedings*, 2, 151–190.
12. Kontsevich, M., & Soibelman, Y. (2009). Notes on A∞-algebras, A∞-categories and non-commutative geometry. *Homological Mirror Symmetry*, 153–219.
13. Lunts, V. A., & Orlov, D. O. (2010). Uniqueness of enhancement for triangulated categories. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 23*(3), 853–908. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-10-00664-8
14. Positselski, L. (2011). Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 212*(996).
15. Krause, H. (2010). Localization theory for triangulated categories. In *Triangulated categories* (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 375, pp. 161–235). Cambridge University Press.