

The Saga of Triple Talaq in India - Understanding the fact

Tapati Das,

Assistant Professor in History

Vivekananda College, East Udayrajpur, Madhyamgram, Kolkata- 700129

West Bengal, India

Email-Id: tapatid8@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper will examines issues related to marriage and divorce under Islamic personal law in India, with particular focus on the abolition of the practice known as *Triple Talaq* or *Talaq-e-Biddat*. The term here refers to the form of instant divorce, distinct from other legitimate types of talaq recognized by different Islamic schools. The discussion centers around the Supreme Court of India's landmark judgment of August 22, 2017, which paved the way for a legal ban on this practice. In a secular nation like India- where diverse communities are governed by their respective personal laws- the state's intervention to uphold women's rights and gender justice marks a significant development. Historically reform in Muslim personal law met with resistance, beginning from the colonial period when limited legislative efforts, such as the *Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act* 1986, sought to address women's rights in matters of divorce and maintenance. However, the traditional practice of *Triple talaq* persisted until its final declaration as unconstitutional and illegal through the *Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act*, 2019. This paper analyzes the historical background that led to this landmark reform and explores its implications for Muslim women's empowerment and the broader discourse on legal and religious rights in India.

Keywords

Triple Talaq, Talaq-e-Biddat, Divorce, Muslim Women, Marriage, Shariat, Gender Justice

Introduction

Islamic law in India is traditionally divided into two primary schools-the Sunni and the Shia-each with several sub-schools that differ in their interpretation of marriage, divorce, and related matters of family life. These schools have developed their own customs, rules and procedures for marriage dissolution, often reflecting both religious texts and socio cultural practices. In Islamic jurisprudence, *talaq* refers to the dissolution of marriage initiated by the husband. Over time, multiple forms of *talaq* evolved, varying across sects and legal schools depending on the circumstances of conjugal life and the conditions set by Islamic principles.

Broadly talaq is categorized into two major types under the Hanafi law- *Talaq-us-Sunnat* and *Talaq-ul-Biddat*. *Talaq-us-Sunnat*, considered the most acceptable form, adheres closely to the Prophets teachings and includes subtypes such as *Talaq-ul-Ahsan* and *Talaq-ul-Hasan*. These forms are approved by both Sunni and Shia jurists. The other type, *Talaq-ul-Biddat*- commonly known as Triple Talaq- is an instantaneous and irrevocable form of divorce, effected when the husband pronounces the word "talaq" three times in succession. This practice though recognized by some Sunni sects like the Hanafis and Shafis, is rejected by the Shia and Maliki schools.

The existence of such divergent views demonstrates that Muslim personal law, as practiced in India, has never been uniform or fully egalitarian in its treatment of men and women, particularly in matters of divorce. In theory, Islamic law promotes fairness and consent in marital relations, but

in practice, customs such as instant talaq often placed women at a disadvantage.

During the colonial period, several legislative measures were introduced to regulate aspects of Muslim family law. *The Mussalman Wakf Validating Act*, 1913 recognized the right of Muslims to dedicate property for the benefit of family members through waqf. Later the *Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act*, 1937, formalized the application of Shariat to issues like marriage, divorce, inheritance, and charitable endowments. While this Act affirmed the autonomy of Muslim personal law, it also limited the legal protection available to women in matters such as maintenance and post-divorce rights under this framework, a Muslim husband retained the privilege of unilateral divorce, polygamy was permitted and financial obligations toward a divorced wife were largely confined to the iddat period.

In contrast, women belonging to other religions in India benefited from codified personal laws after independence, such as the *Hindu Marriage Act*(1955), the *Hindu Succession Act* (1956), and related statutes, which provided more consistent protection for women's property and marital rights. The unequal treatment of Muslim women in family law thus remained a source of ongoing debate and legal reform.

The Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act (1939), was a step toward gender equity within Islamic law, granting Muslim women specific grounds to seek divorce- known as khula when initiated by the wife, and mubarat when mutually agreed upon. However, these provisions were limited in scope and rarely enforced effectively. Later, the *Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act*, 1986 – enacted in response to the Shah Bano judgment- attempted to safeguard women's rights for maintenance and property. Yet its interpretation remained confined to traditional notions of Muslim law and largely dependent on religious authorities.

Overall, the historical development of Muslim personal law in India reveals a complex interplay between religion, gender, and law. While the framework sought to preserve religious identity, it often did so at the expense of women's legal rights. This background sets stage for understanding the long-standing controversy surrounding Triple Talaq and the eventual constitutional and legislative reforms that redefined its legal status in contemporary India.

Historical and Legal Background of Muslim Personal Law in India

The evolution of Muslim personal law in India reflects a gradual attempt to reconcile religious doctrine with modern legal principles. From the colonial period to the post independence era, successive enactments sought to balance community autonomy with the growing need for social reform, particularly concerning women's rights in marriage and divorce.

One of the earliest legislative measures was the **Mussalman Wakf Validating Act** of 1913, which confirmed the right of Muslims to create wakf-charitable endowments-benefiting their descendants or family members. The act provided legal recognition to wakf-alal-aulad (family trusts), strengthening the ability of Muslim individuals to manage property within a religious framework. It marked the beginning of a series of laws aimed at defining the legal contours of Muslim family and property relations under British rule.

The **Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act**, 1937 was another crucial milestone. It mandated that in cases concerning marriage, divorce, inheritance, maintenance, and succession among Muslims, the rule of decision would be Islamic law rather than local customs or colonial regulations. While this Act was introduced by the British colonial government to formalize the application of Shariat principles, it later became central to the identity of the Muslim community in independent India. However, despite its intent to ensure uniformity within the Muslim legal

framework, the Act did little to advance gender justice. It continued to recognize practices such as polygamy and unilateral divorce by men while offering limited safeguards to women.

According to the Shariat Act, a husband could divorce his wife without the intervention of any court or legal procedure. His obligation to provide maintenance was generally restricted to the Iddat period – the prescribed waiting time following divorce. Beyond this period, a divorced Muslim woman could not claim financial support from her former husband, leaving many women vulnerable to social and economic hardship. Thus, while the Act preserved religious autonomy, it also institutionalized gender inequality within family law.

In contrast other religious communities in India witnessed extensive codification of personal laws in the post-independence period. For example, the **Hindu Code Bills**, comprising the **Hindu Marriage Act (1955)**, **Hindu Succession Act (1956)**, **Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act (1956)**, **Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act (1956)**, collectively established more equitable legal rights for Hindu women. These laws provided a framework for inheritance, adoption, and maintenance, reflecting the constitutional values of equality and justice. The absence of comparable reforms in Muslim personal law led to continued disparities between Muslim women and women from other faiths.

An important reform effort during the colonial period was the **Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act (1939)**, which explicitly recognized a Muslim woman's right to seek divorce on specific grounds. Under this law, a woman could initiate divorce (khula) in cases of cruelty, abandonment, impotence, or failure of maintenance by her husband. Mutual consent divorces (mubarat) were also acknowledged. Although these provisions existed in Islamic jurisprudence, they were largely ignored in social practice until codified through this Act. The 1939 legislation thus marked a significant, though limited, step toward acknowledging women's legal agency within the confines of religious law.

After independence, the issue of Muslim women's rights resurfaced in national debates, especially following the **Shah Bano Case (1985)**. The Supreme Court's decision in favor of Shah Bano, granting her maintenance under section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code, was hailed as progressive but met with strong opposition from sections of the Muslim community. To address the controversy, the government enacted the **Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act 1986**. This Act aimed to clarify the rights of divorced Muslim women concerning maintenance (mahr) and property. However, the law reaffirmed that maintenance obligations ended with the iddat period, transferring the responsibility of long term support to the woman's relatives or the Wakf Board. Critics argued that the 1986 Act diluted the progressive intent of the Shah Bano judgment and reinforced traditional interpretations of shariat that disadvantaged women.

Thus by the late 20th century, Muslim personal law in India remained a contentious domain – deeply rooted in religious tradition yet frequently challenged its incompatibility with constitutional principles of gender equality. The debates surrounding these legislative enactments laid the groundwork for the subsequent challenge to Talaq-e-Biddat (instant triple talaq) and its eventual prohibition.

Landmark Judicial Interventions: The Shah Bano and Shayara Bano Cases

The Shah Bano Case (1985)

One of the most influential moments in the legal history of Muslim women's rights in India was the case of Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum (1985). Shah Bano, a 62 year-old Muslim woman sought maintenance from her husband after being divorced through talaq. Invoking **Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code**, she argued that her right to alimony was independent of her religion.

The Supreme Court ruled in her favor, holding that every divorced woman, irrespective of religion, was entitled to maintenance if unable to sustain herself. The court emphasized that the obligation of a husband to support his wife did not end with divorce and that religious law could not override the principles of constitutional justice and gender equality. The judgment also referred to Quranic injunctions that promote fairness toward women, reinforcing that talaq must not be used arbitrarily.

While the verdict was widely praised as a milestone for women's empowerment, it also provoked strong reactions from conservative sections of the Muslim community. Many perceived it as judicial interference in religious personal law. In response to the ensuing protests, the government enacted the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, which effectively limited the scope of the Shah Bano judgment. The new law confined the husband's responsibility for maintenance to the iddat period- typically three months following divorce- and placed the duty of full support on the woman's relatives or the Waqf Board. Although intended as a conciliatory measure, it reignited the debate on whether the state should prioritize religious autonomy or constitutional equality in personal law matters.

The Shah Bano episode thus symbolized the tension between faith and fundamental rights , revealing how interpretations of Islamic law could either advance or constrain women's status in society.

The Shayara Bano Case (2016-2017)

Three decades later, a similar conflict between religious practice and constitutional rights emerged in Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2016-2017). Shayara Bano, a Muslim woman from Uttarakhand, challenged the constitutional validity of **talaq-e-biddat** (instant triple talaq), **nikah halala**, and **polygamy**. She contended that these practices violated her fundamental rights under **Article 14** (equality before law), **15** (non-discrimination), **21** (right to life and personal liberty), and **25** (freedom of religion).

The petition attracted wide public attention, as several Muslim women's groups- including the **Bharatiya Muslim Mahila Andolan**- supported the challenge, arguing that instant talaq was both un-Quranic and discriminatory. The Union Government also sided with the petitioners, while the **All India Muslim Personal Law Board (AIMPLB)** defended triple talaq as an intrinsic element of Islamic faith protected under religious freedom.

The central question before the Supreme Court was whether the practice of instant talaq constituted an essential religious practice or whether it violated the constitution's guarantee of equality and dignity. After extensive deliberation, the Court delivered a split judgment (3:2) on 22 August 2017, declaring talaq-e-biddat unconstitutional and invalid. The majority opinion reasoned that the practice was arbitrary, lacked Quranic sanction, and contravened Article 14 of the constitution. The verdict not only nullified the practice but also opened the door for legislative reform.

Following this ruling, the Government of India introduced the **Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Bill**, which later became the Act of 2019. This statute made the pronouncement of instant triple talaq a **cognizable and punishable offence**, carrying imprisonment for up to three years. It also guaranteed a **subsistence allowance** and **custodial rights** for the affected wife and her children.

The Shayara Bano judgment was hailed as a historic advancement for gender justice and a reaffirmation of constitutional supremacy over religious custom. Yet, it also sparked new debates about the balance between individual rights and community identity within a secular state. The controversy underscored how deeply personal law reforms intersect with politics, faith, and women's emancipation in India.

Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, 2019 and Its Impact

The 2019 enactment of the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act marked a defining moment in India's long struggle for gender justice within the framework of personal law. The legislation emerged directly from the Supreme Court's 2017 judgment in Shayara Bano v. Union of India, which had declared the practice of talaq-e-biddat unconstitutional. The Act not only invalidated the pronouncement of instant talaq but also made it a criminal offence, thereby transforming what had been a civil matter into one subject to state intervention.

Under the 2019 Act, any declaration of talaq-e-biddat –spoken, written, or transmitted electronically- is considered void and illegal. The law prescribes imprisonment of up to three years for any husband who attempts to divorce his wife through this prohibited method. In addition to criminal liability, the Act entitles the affected woman to receive a subsistence allowance for herself and her dependent children, as determined by a magistrate. It also grants her right to custody of minor children, ensuring protection against sudden abandonment. These provisions collectively aimed to address both the legal and social vulnerabilities of Muslim women subjected to instant divorce.

The introduction of penal provisions, however, generated considerable debate. Supporters of the Act celebrated it as a long-overdue victory for Muslim women, emphasizing that no other form of divorce in Islamic law is as arbitrary or unjust talaq-e-biddat. Women's rights groups hailed the law as a milestone in dismantling patriarchal customs that had persisted under the guise of religious practice. They argued that the Act fulfilled the constitutional mandate of equality and dignity under Article 14 and 21, providing Muslim women the same protections available to women of other faiths.

Conversely, critics viewed the Act as excessive and politically motivated. They argued that criminalizing a marital offence could disrupt family life rather than reform it. Several Muslim scholars and the All India Muslim Personal Law Board contended that since the Supreme Court of India had already declared the practice invalid, the addition of criminal sanctions was unnecessary and contrary to the spirit of reconciliation encouraged in Islamic law. Some also feared that the law might be misused, particularly by utilizing arrest provisions against men, thereby further straining intra-community relations.

Despite these divergent opinions, the 2019 law represented a transformative step in aligning personal laws with constitutional principles. It placed India among a group of Muslim-majority and secular nations- such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Tunisia, Turkey, and Sri Lanka- that had previously curtailed or banned instantaneous divorce to promote gender equality. By criminalizing triple talaq, India sent a strong message that religious freedom cannot be used to justify practices that violate fundamental rights.

The implementation of the Act also reignited the long-standing debate on the Uniform Civil Code (UCC). Many argued that while the triple talaq ban addressed one aspect of inequality, the persistence of separate personal laws for different communities still left room for discrimination. Others viewed the reform as a step toward a gradual harmonization of personal laws without dismantling cultural diversity.

Ultimately, the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, 2019 symbolizes more than the end of discriminatory custom- it represents a constitutional commitment to ensuring that personal laws evolve in harmony with the ideals of justice, equality, and secularism. The law redefined the relationship between the state, religion, and gender, ensuring that women's rights remain central to the discourse on legal reform in modern India.

Conclusion

The journey of triple talaq in India-from its historical roots to its constitutional abolition-illustrates the dynamic tension between faith, law, and gender justice in a diverse democracy. The evolution of Muslim personal law has always reflected a delicate balance between religious autonomy and the constitutional promise of equality. From the colonial period's cautious legislative interventions, such as the Shariat Act of 1937 and the Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act of 1939, to the post independence controversies surrounding the Shah Bano judgment and the 1986 legislation, each stage revealed the persistent challenge of reforming personal laws within a pluralistic society.

The Shayara Bano judgment of 2017 and the subsequent Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, 2019 represent a decisive break from historical reluctance. They marked a shift from merely preserving religious identity toward actively promoting constitutional morality and gender equality. By invalidating talaq-e-biddat, the Indian judiciary and legislature collectively reaffirmed that personal laws must operate within the framework of fundamental rights. This was not a rejection of Islam, but rather an affirmation of the Quranic principles of justice, fairness, and respect for women that had been overshadowed by patriarchal interpretation and social practice.

However, the legal reform also reignited fundamental debates about secularism and state intervention. Supporters viewed the abolition of instant talaq as a progressive milestone that liberated countless Muslim women from arbitrary divorce and social insecurity. Critics, on the other hand, questioned whether criminalization was the appropriate response, warning that it might politicize gender justice and deepen religious polarization. Nevertheless, the reform's broader impact cannot be understated-it expanded public discourse on women's rights, legal uniformity, and the future of personal law reform in India.

Today, India stands alongside other nations-both secular and Muslim-majority-that have modernized family law to reflect contemporary notions of equality and human dignity. The struggle against Triple Talaq has therefore become a symbol of empowerment and constitutional evolution. It demonstrates that meaningful reform in personal law is possible when guided by the principles of justice rather than by the constraints of custom.

Ultimately, the saga of Triple Talaq is not merely about the abolition of one practice; it is about the ongoing effort to harmonize faith with constitutional values. It underscores that true secularism does not diminish religion but ensures that religious practices coexist with the ideals of fairness, equality, and human rights. The reform of 2019 thus stands as a milestone in Indian social and legal history-one that strengthens both women's rights and the nation's commitment to justice in a pluralistic democracy.

References

1. Ahmed, F. (2022). Triple Talaq: An Analytical Study with Emphasis on Socio-Legal Aspects. Regency Publications.
2. Ali, S.S. (2012). Muslim Women and Personal Law. Routledge.
3. Chandra, B. (2008). Indian Politics since Independence (Revised Edition 2008). Penguin Books India.
4. Khurshid, S. (2018). Triple Talaq: Examining Faith. Oxford University Press.
5. Mahajan, K. (2019). Traditional and Modern Forms of Talaq under Muslim Law. Back to the Life Publisher.
6. Mahmood, T. (1986). Uniform Civil Code and Gender Justice. Indian Law Institute.
7. Mahmood, T. (2016). Muslim Law in India and Abroad. Universal Law Publishing Co.
8. Mondal, K., Das, T., & Chatterjee, S. (2022). The evolution of human rights: A descriptive exploration of its historical, political, and philosophical foundations. International Journal of Research in Social Sciences, 12(8), 182–206. https://www.ijmra.us/2022ijrss_august.php
9. Mulla, D.F. (1922). Principles of Mohammedan Law. Messrs.N.M. Tripathi & Co.
10. Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, Act No. 25 of 1986 (India). <https://www.indiacode.nic.in/indiacode/handle/123456789/1873>
11. Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, 2019, Act No. 20 of 2019 (India). <https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/11564>
12. Mussalman Wakf Act, 1923, Act No. 42 of 1923 (India). <https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/19245/1/a1923-42.pdf>
13. Mussalman Wakf Validating Act, 1913, Act No. 6 of 1913 (India).

<https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1852964/>

14. Parashar, A. (1992). Personal Law Reforms and Gender Empowerment. Oxford University Press.
15. Salam, Z.U. (2018). Till Talaq Do Us Part: Understanding Talaq, Triple Talaq and Khula. Penguin India.
16. Siddiqui, T. (2006). Muslim Women's Rights in Muslim Personal Law, the Sarojini Naidu Centre for Women's Studies, Jamia Millia Islamia.
17. Singh, S.S. (1993). Unification of Divorce Laws in India. Deep & Deep Publications.
18. Subramanian, N. (2008). Politics of personal law in India: Colonial Legacy and Post-Colonial Compulsions. Oxford University Press.
19. Wahab, G. (2021). Born a Muslim: Some Truths about Islam in India. Aleph Book Company.
20. Wani, M.A. (1987). Maintenance Rights of Muslim Women: Principles, Precedents & Trends. Genuine Publications, New Delhi.
21. Waqf Act, 1995, Act No. 43 of 1995 (India).
<https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/1984>